

Assessment of shear bond strength between composite resin and enamel surface after treating with acid etching and laser etching: a comparative study

Pankaj Ramesh Panjwani¹, K. S. Banga¹

¹Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Nair Hospital Dental College, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.

Corresponding Author:

Pankaj Ramesh Panjwani

Email ID :pankajpanjwani3558@gmail.com

Submission: 09.09.2024

Acceptance: 09.10.2024

Publication: 31.12.2024



Abstract

Background: to contrast the shear bond strength between acid and laser etching on the enamel surface.

Materials and methods: 100 recently extracted maxillary canines were employed in this experiment. These were then primarily split into two groups: Group 1 received acid etching, followed by enamel bonding, whereas Group 2 received laser etching, followed by enamel bonding. Composite bonding to the enamel surface was done in accordance with the methods used by each group.

Results: Group I was found to have mean shear bond strength of 63.29 MPa whereas group II was found to have mean shear bond strength of 37.84 MPa. After statistical analysis, it was observed that the samples of acid etching group had significantly greater mean shear bond strength when compared with the laser etching group.

Conclusion: In comparison to composites bonded after laser etching, the mean shear bond strength of composites was greater after acid etching.

Keywords: Composite resin, acid etching, laser etching

Introduction

Adhesive technology has developed rapidly since Buonocore introduced the acid etching technique in 1955.⁽¹⁾ The main challenge for dental adhesive is to provide effective bonding to enamel and dentin, which have different characteristics. Enamel bonding is based on micromechanical interlocking between the resin and etched enamel, which has been proven to be reliable and durable. On the other hand, bonding to dentin is far more complex due to the inherent characteristics of dentin, such as variable tubular structure, high organic content and positive dentinal fluid flow.⁽²⁻⁴⁾

Traditionally, complicated and time-consuming multistep adhesive systems have been used to achieve successful dentin bonding. Although multi-step adhesives can provide high bond strength, they are considered to be highly technique-sensitive, and many procedural errors can occur. As a consequence, clinicians have demanded simpler, more user-friendly and less technique sensitive adhesives. According to the clinicians' demand, dental material manufacturers have developed new simple-step systems.

On the other hand, there have been two concerns regarding simple step adhesive systems. In many studies, several authors have reported that selective enamel etching is effective in terms of the bond strength when using self-etch adhesives, but additional acid etching has adverse effects on the dentin bond strength, resulting in decreased bond strength.⁽⁵⁻⁸⁾ Therefore, they suggested that prior acid etching should be limited to the enamel when using self-etch adhesives.

Hence; the present study was conducted for assessing and comparing the shear bond strength of composite resin to enamel surface with laser etching and acid etching.

Materials and methods

100 recently extracted maxillary canines were employed in this experiment. These were then primarily split into two groups: Group I received acid etching, followed by enamel bonding, whereas Group II received laser etching, followed by enamel bonding. Composite bonding to the enamel surface was done in accordance with the methods used by each group. The teeth were dried following the etching technique, and a light cure bonding chemical was then applied. Using a universal force testing machine, the shear bond strengths of all specimens were compared. The results were analysed with SPSS software after being saved in Microsoft Excel sheets.

Results

Table 1: Mean shear bond strength among specimens of both the study groups

Group	Mean shear bond strength (MPa)	p- value
Group I	63.29	0.003 (Significant)
Group II	37.84	

Group I was found to have mean shear bond strength of 63.29 MPa whereas group II was found to have mean shear bond strength of 37.84 MPa. After statistical analysis, it was observed that the samples of acid etching group had significantly greater mean shear bond strength when compared with the laser etching group.

Discussion

Laminate technique or sandwich restoration is one of the methods used in dental composite restoration,⁽⁹⁾ in which two different materials namely glass-ionomer cement (GIC) and composite resin are used. In this technique, the GIC or resin-modified glass-ionomer cement (RMGIC) is placed between the dentin gingival margins and occlusal composite restoration.⁽¹⁰⁾ The proper bond between GIC and resin composite is necessary for successful restoration. This method is mainly applied to benefit from both the physical and aesthetic properties of these materials. GIC presents two interesting features in restorations by bonding spontaneously to the dentin and releasing fluoride. Some disadvantages of these materials include poor physical-mechanical properties and aesthetics which can be compensated by the overlying composite resin.^(11,12) Etching the GIC is effective to obtain the favourable bond of composite.⁽¹³⁾ Using 35% phosphoric acid as surface treatment of GIC may increase the shear bond strength of this cement to composite resin.⁽¹⁴⁾ The bond strength between the conventional GIC and composite resin is due to the porosity in the etched surface of GIC.⁽¹⁵⁾ It has been found that in etching procedure, a 0.5 mm thickness of GIC and 20 seconds of etching is necessary to provide a proper bonding surface.⁽¹⁶⁾

Hence; the present study was conducted for assessing and comparing the shear bond strength of composite resin to enamel surface with laser etching and acid etching.

In this study, Group I was found to have mean shear bond strength of 63.29 MPa whereas group II was found to have mean shear bond strength of 37.84 MPa. After statistical analysis, it was observed that the samples of acid etching group had significantly greater mean shear bond strength when compared with the laser etching group.

Bahrololoomi Z et al⁽¹⁷⁾ evaluated the effect of tooth preparation with bur and Er:YAG laser on shear bond strength of composite to enamel and dentin of primary teeth. Seventy-five primary molar teeth were collected and 150 specimens were obtained by mesiodistal sectioning of each tooth. In each of the enamel and dentin groups, the teeth were randomly assigned to 3 subgroups with the following preparations: bur preparation + etching (37% H₃PO₄), laser preparation + etching, and laser preparation without etching. Single Bond adhesive and Z250 composite were applied to all samples. The bond strength of enamel specimens was

significantly higher than that of dentin specimens, except for the laser-non-etched groups. The enamel and dentin laser-non-etched groups had no significant difference in bond strength. In both enamel and dentin groups, bur preparation + etching yielded the highest bond strength, followed by laser preparation + etching, and the laser preparation without etching yielded the lowest bond strength ($P < 0.001$). In both enamel and dentin groups, laser preparation caused lower shear bond strength compared to bur preparation.

Kandaswamy et al. reported that the mild self-etch bonding provided higher shear bond strength.⁽¹⁸⁾ This might be due to the lower acidity of the mild self-etch adhesive compared with the strong and intermediate self-etch adhesives. According to organic chemistry, when a weak acid invades something, it induces a minimum excitation in the ions, and hence the salt crumps formation will be minimal.⁽¹⁹⁾ Cations such as Ca²⁺ and Na⁺ that are not excited and are present in large amounts for effective interaction, especially in a conductive reaction medium like GIC, instigate strong ionic reaction with the bonding agents.^(20,21) It seems that the lower acidity of mild self-etch adhesive leads to the higher shear bond strength.

Conclusion

The mean shear bond strength of composite was higher after acid etching as compared to composite bonded after laser etching.

Conflict of Interest: Nil

Source of Support: Nil

Copyright © 2024 Nair Hospital Dental College Journal of Contemporary Dentistry. This is an open access article, it is free for all to read, download, copy, distribute, adapt and permitted to reuse under Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial-ShareAlike: CC BY-NC-SABY 4.0 license.

References

1. Buonocore MG. A simple method of increasing the adhesion of acrylic filling materials to enamel surfaces. *J Dent Res.* 1955;34:849–853.
2. Nakabayashi N, Saimi Y. Bonding to intact dentin. *J Dent Res.* 1996;75:1706–1715.
3. Tay FR, Gwinnett JA, Wei SH. Micromorphological spectrum of acid-conditioned dentin following the application of a water-based adhesive. *Dent Mater.* 1998;14:329–338.
4. Walshaw PR, McComb D. Clinical considerations for optimal dentinal bonding. *Quintessence Int.* 1996;27:619–625.
5. Van Landuyt KL, Kanumilli P, De Munck J, Peumans M, Lambrechts P, Van Meerbeek B. Bond strength of a

- mild self-etch adhesive with and without prior acid-etching. *J Dent*. 2006;34:77–85.
6. Pashley DH, Tay FR. Aggressiveness of contemporary self-etching adhesives. Part II: etching effects on unground enamel. *Dent Mater*. 2001;17:430–444.
 7. Gokce K, Aykor A, Ersoy M, Ozel E, Soyman M. Effect of phosphoric acid etching and self-etching primer application methods on dentinal shear bond strength. *J Adhes Dent*. 2008;10:345–349.
 8. Ikeda M, Kurokawa H, Sunada N, Tamura Y, Takimoto M, Murayama R, Ando S, Miyazaki M. Influence of previous acid etching on dentin bond strength of self-etch adhesives. *J Oral Sci*. 2009;51:527–534.
 9. Giachetti L, Bertini F, Bambi C, Scaminaci Russo D. A rational use of dental materials in posterior direct resin restorations in order to control polymerization shrinkage stress. *Minerva Stomatol*. 2007; 56: 129–138.
 10. Koubi S, Raskin A, Dejou J, About I, Tassery H, Camps J, et al. Effect of dual cure composite as dentin substitute on the marginal integrity of Class II open-sandwich restorations. *Oper Dent*. 2010;35: 165–171.
 11. Hilton TJ, Broome JC. Direct posterior esthetic restorations. In: Summitt JB, Robbins JW, Hilton TJ, Schwartz RS, editors. *Fundamental of operative dentistry*. 3rd ed. Chicago: Quint Publishing Co; 2006. pp. 289–339.
 12. Alavi AA, Sharafeddin F, Tondari A. The Effect of Adding Glass and Polyethylene Fibres on Flexural Strength of Three Types of Glass-Ionomer Cements. *Res J Biologic Scien*. 2013; 8: 66–70.
 13. Farah CS, Orton VG, Collard SM. Shear bond strength of chemical and light-cured glass ionomer cements bonded to resin composites. *Aust Dent J*. 1998; 43: 81–86.
 14. Navimipour EJ, Oskoe SS, Oskoe PA, Bahari M, Rikhtegaran S, Ghojzadeh M. Effect of acid and laser etching on shear bond strength of conventional and resin-modified glass-ionomer cements to composite resin. *Lasers Med Sci*. 2012; 27: 305–311.
 15. Li J, Liu Y, Liu Y, Söremark R, Sundström F. Flexure strength of resin-modified glass ionomer cements and their bond strength to dental composites. *Acta Odontol Scand*. 1996; 54: 55–58.
 16. Smith ED, Martin FE. Acid etching of a glass ionomer cement base: SEM study. *Aust Dent J*. 1990; 35: 236–240.
 17. Bahrololoomi Z, Kabudan M, Gholami L. Effect of Er:YAG Laser on Shear Bond Strength of Composite to Enamel and Dentin of Primary Teeth. *J Dent (Tehran)*. 2015 Mar;12(3):163-70
 18. Kandaswamy D, Rajan KJ, Venkateshbabu N, Porkodi I. Shear bond strength evaluation of resin composite bonded to glass-ionomer cement using self-etching bonding agents with different pH: In vitro study. *J Conserv Dent*. 2012; 15: 27–31.
 19. Morrison RT, Boyd RN. *Organic chemistry*. 5th ed. New Delhi: Prentice-Hall India Ltd.; 1987. p. 25.
 20. Gopikrishna V, Abarajithan M, Krithikadatta J, Kandaswamy D. Shear bond strength evaluation of resin composite bonded to GIC using three different adhesives. *Oper Dent*. 2009; 34: 467–471.
 21. Coutinho E, Van Landuyt K, De Munck J, Poitevin A, Yoshida Y, Inoue S, et al. Development of a self-etch adhesive for resin-modified glass ionomers. *J Dent Res*. 2006; 85: 349–353.